

Marine subsidies delivered by birds to mangrove forests



Adame, M.F., Julieta N. Gamboa, J. Caamal, J.A. Herrera-Silveira.



Transfer of material between terrestrialmarine systems (Polis et al. 1997)



The Yucatan Peninsula

- Large areas of mangrove forests (~ 430,000 ha)
- Wetlands of international importance: 12 Ramsar sites, 4 Biosphere Reserves, one World Heritage site.
- Karstic- groundwater system, no rivers
- Microtidal
- Oligotrophic –occasional nutrient inputs during storms and hurricanes

Mangroves in the Yucatan Peninsula are phosphorus limited



Litterfall



Tree and soil C pools



Root biomass

Celestun Biosphere Reserve

- Coastal lagoon with ground freshwater inputs
- > 90,000 ha mangrove forest
- Flamingo population and > 120 sp of resident and migratory birds (Ramsar site)







Permanent bird colonies









Phalacocorax auritus Fregata magnificens Ardea alba



Evidence of bird-derived nutrient inputs





Temporal bird colonies







Pelecanus occidentalis Phalacocorax auritus

No bird colonies

N1

N2



- What is the difference among these islands?
- What is the effect of bird colonies in mangrove production?
- Are birds providing marine subsidies to these mangrove forests?

Methods

- Forest structure
- Physicochemical characteristics of soil (phosphorus, OC, pH, interstitial salinity)
- Leaf nutrients- nutrient reabsorption efficiency
- Water samples (salinity, DO, SRP) throughout the lagoon (8 stations)
- Three seasons: wet, winter, dry

Forest structure

	Tree density (tree/ha)	Tree biomass (ton/ha)	% Rhizophora mangle	% Laguncunaria racemosa	% Avicennnia germinans
P1	3770	252	60	20	20
P2	5470	662	29	54	18
T1	2654	392	45	35	20
T2	5824	736	28	70	3
N1	6389	323	60	32	11
N2	4581	368	52	48	0



(Diagrams by D. Kleine and T. Saxby)

Soil

	Soil density (g/cm³)	рН	Interstitial salinity	OC (%)	Soil depth (Z)
1-Permanent	0.4 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.3	38 ± 2*	31 ± 7	1.9 ± 0.0
2-Permanent	$\textbf{0.3}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$6.6\ \pm 0.2$	41 ± 2*	30 ± 4	1.9 ± 0.0
1-Temporal	$\textbf{0.4}\pm\textbf{0.0}$	$\textbf{7.3}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$45\pm5^{*}$	27 ± 7	1.9 ± 0.1
2-Temporal	$\textbf{0.4}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{7.0} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	39 ± 2*	21 ± 5	1.6 ± 0.0
1-None	0.4 ± 0.0	7.2 ± 0.1	32 ± 4	23 ± 2	1.6 ± 0.1
2-None	0.4 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.1	28 ± 3	25 ± 5	1.8 ± 0.0

Soil TP

+ BIRD

- BIRDS



TP in leaves





Nutrient reabsorption

		Wet	Winter
Permanent	Rm	22 %	58 %
	Lr	44 %	43 %
	Ag	-	24 %
Temporal	Rm	53 %	60 %
	Lr	60 %	66 %
	Ag	-	62 %
None	Rm	55 %	59%
	Lr	41 %	66%
	Ag	-	





- Avicennia germinans
- + interstitial salinity
- + OC soil _
- + soil TP _
- + leaf TP
- nutrient reabsorption +





Marine subsidies to other coastal ecosystems

Sea birds and algae wrack and carrion in:

- 1. Coral cays, GB Reef, Australia (Heatwole, 1971)
- 2. Desert coast, BC, Mexico (Polis and Hurd 1996).
- 3. Volcanic islands, Aleutians Is.(Maron et al. 2006)
- 4. Rocky intertidal community (Bosman and Hockey, 1986)

The importance of allochthonous inputs is largely dependent on productivity gradients (Polis 1997)

Implications

- Mangrove health is associated to bird populations (Holguin et al. 2006) –important to understand relations between mangrove production-seabirds
- Insight on effects of eutrophication in mangrove forests
- Sea level rise can decrease island elevation- decrease in salinitydecrease Av. germinans- decrease in habitat availability for some sp of birds.

Some coastal wetlands of the Yucatan Peninsula, due to its karstic nature and P deficiency, might be one of the unique examples where marine outweigh terrestrial nutrient subsidies.

What's next?

- Isotopic values (¹³C and ¹⁵N) of soil and leaves to verify marine origin of TP in mangrove forests
- Measuring accretion and respiration rates in soils with birds vs no birds
- Nutrient inputs of flamingos to seagrass beds?
- More bird watching, ceviche and beers..



Thank you !!

- CONACyT (Mexican Council for Science and Technology)
- CINVESTAV-IPN, Mérida, Yucatán.
- Field assistance: Ricardo Torres, Laura Carillo, Eunice Pech, Oscar Reyes